# Anthropogenic impacts on regional surface water fluxes



Ingjerd Haddeland (ingjerd.haddeland@geo.uio.no) (1,2), Dennis P. Lettenmaier (3), and Thomas Skaugen (1,2). (1) Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, (2) Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate, (3) Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington

>50

## 1. Introduction

The global water cycle reflects both natural and anthropogenic variability and changes on the land surface. Seasonal and long-term climate variability obviously impact runoff and evapotranspiration, and in the post-industrial era management of the world's rivers has changed the dynamics of the water cycle. Here we present results from a hydrologic modeling study of anthropogenic impact on surface water and energy fluxes.

## 2. Approach

*Hydrologic model:* The Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) macroscale hydrologic model.

Irrigation module: Based on the VIC model's predicted soil moisture, irrigation water (if available) is added to crops. Information on irrigated areas is taken from FAO's global map of <sup>30</sup> areas equipped for irrigation.

Reservoir model: A simple reservoir model is included in the river routing scheme. Operating rules are based on the purpose of the dam. Dam information (storage capacity, purpose) is obtained from ICOLD (International Commission on Large Dams), and the University of New Hampshire (location).

#### Simulation period: 1980 - 1999 Table 1: Model setups

Model setup	Irrigation	Reservoirs	
Irr_false	FALSE	FALSE	
Irr_true	TRUE	FALSE	
Irr_false_res	FALSE	TRUE	
Irr_true_res	TRUE	TRUE	2



Figure 1: Map showing areas equipped for irrigation (FAO), and dam sites (ICOLD/UNH).

#### Model setups: The model setups are listed in Table 1. In addition, simulations assuming water is freely available (irr\_free) are performed.

### 3. Model validation Streamflow



Figure 2: Simulated irrigation water requirements compared to reported consumptive water use (USA), and irrigation water requirements (Asia).



When irrigation and reservoirs are not taken into account, simulated streamflow (irr\_false) is close to naturalized streamflow (only available for the Columbia and Colorado River basins). Simulated actual streamflow (irr\_true\_res) is fairly close to observed streamflow in the Upper Colorado River, but the results from the Columbia and Huang He Rivers demonstrate that the assumptions included in the reservoir model need to be modified.

GEWEX - 5th International Science Conference on the Global Energy







5) Euphrate & Tigris

The most pronounced increases in evapotranspiration/latent heat fluxes caused by irrigation practices, can be found in California, Pakistan, India, and Northeastern China, see Figure 4. Averaged over the years and continents, the mean increase in evapotranspiration for North America and Asia (bounding boxes as in Figure 4, land areas only) are 15 and 25 mm year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, while the corresponding

Figure 4: a) Increase in evapotranspiration because of irrigation (= irrigation water requirements).

and b) Corresponding increase in latent heat fluxes. All numbers are averaged over the grid cell and

simulation period, and water for irrigation is assumed freely available. The numbers (1-8) show the

Figure 5: Anthropogenic impact on streamflow in North American and Asian river basins. Line labels are explained in Table 1.

Figure 5 shows simulated streamflow at the outlet of 8 large river basins, resulting from the various model setups. Anthropogenic impact on streamflow is of course dependent on the level of disturbance, either because of irrigation (e.g. the Arkansas River basin), and/or the presence of reservoirs (e.g. the Euphrate & Tigris River basin).

## 5. Results: Colorado River basin

numbers for latent heat fluxes are 1.2 and 1.7 Wm<sup>-2</sup>.

outlet locations of the basins analyzed (Figure 5).

Results from the Colorado River basin show that the locally significant increases in evapotranspiration (or latent heat) results in lower surface temperatures, and hence decreased sensible heat flux. The 20-year simulations indicate irrigation water requirements of 10 km<sup>3</sup>year<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to streamflow decreases of 37 percent. The increase in latent heat flux is accompanied by a decrease in annual averaged surface temperatures of 0.04 °C.

The maximum simulated increase in latent heat flux averaged over the three peak irrigation months for one grid cell is 63  $Wm^2$ , where surface temperature decreases 2.1 °C, see also Figure 6.



Figure 6: Spatial effects of irrigation on water and energy balance components in the Colorado River basin. All numbers are averaged over the grid cell and the three peak irrigation months (Jun, Jul, Aug).