Anthropogenic impacts on the water balance of large river basins

Ingjerd Haddeland, Thomas Skaugen (University of Oslo) Dennis P. Lettenmaier (University of Washington)

Outline

- Background
- Approach
- Results
- Conclusions



Introduction

- Irrigation:
 - 60-70 % of global water withdrawals (*Shiklomanov*, 1997)
- ICOLD: >30,000 large dams
 - 35 % built for irrigation purposes alone
- Freshwater scarcity: one of the most important environmental issues of the 21st century (*UNEP*, 1999)



Vörösmarty and Sahagian, Bioscience, 2000.

Irrigated areas

Irrigated areas



90°E

60°E

30°E

60°N

30°N



< 0.1

 \sim

150°E

120°E

•Irrigated areas, globally:

- 2.5*10⁶ km²
- 1.7 % of global land area

•Location of irrigated areas:

- Asia: 68%
- America: 16%
- China, India, USA: 47%



Irrigation: Definitions

• Irrigation water requirements:

 Water required in addition to water from precipitation (soil moisture) for optimal plant growth during the growing season

• (Consumptive) irrigation water use:

 Water, in addition to water from precipitation, actually used by plants. Is equal to, or less, than irrigation water requirements.



• Irrigation water deficits:

Irrigation water requirements – Irrigation water use



Reservoirs



ICOLD, 2003. World Register of Dams 2003, International Commission on Large Dams (ICOLD), Paris, France.

Previous studies

- 20% of global mean annual runoff can be retained in reservoirs (Vörösmarty et al., *Ambio*, 1997)
- Reservoirs alters continental monthly river disharge by up to 34% (Hanasaki et al., *Journal of Hydrology*, 2006)
- Irrigation water requirements range from 1100 km³year⁻¹ (Döll and Siebert, Water Resources Research, 2002) to 2300 km³year⁻¹ (Shiklomanov, United Nations, 1997)
- Irrigation increases latent heat flux by 9.5% over the Indian Peninsaula (deRosnay et al., *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2003)
- Global mean radiative forcing is increased by up to 0.1 Wm⁻², temperatures decreases up to 0.8K over irrigated areas (Boucher et al., *Climate Dynamics*, 2004)

Measurements







Figure 8. Some as Figure 7 except for moisture mixing ratio. Adapted from Segal et al. [1989] with permission from American Meteorological Society.

Objective of this study

• "The effects of irrigation and large reservoirs on the water balance are studied with the objective of obtaining plausible reproductions of observed flows at the outlets of large river basins, with a special emphasis on river basins affected by irrigation."

- "Traditional" macroscale models:
 - Simulates naturalized streamflow
- Model development:
 - Generic reservoir model
 - Irrigation scheme



Variable Infiltration Capacity model



Approach

- Macroscale hydrologic model: VIC
- Resolution
 - Spatial: 0.5 degrees
 - Temporal: Daily
- Input data
 - Precipitation, max/min temperature, wind
 - Land cover data (vegetation, soil properties, topography)
- Time period: 1980 1999
- Irrigation scheme
 - VIC. Surface water withdrawals only
- Reservoir module
 - Routing model





a18017016015014013012011010090-80-70-60-50-40-30-20-10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90100110120130140150160170180





	Irrigated area												
	(1000 ha)	Crop area as percentage of the total area equipped for irrigation by month											
		J	F	М	Α	М	J	J	Α	S	0	N	D
VIETNAM													
Rice	4500												
Rice-one						75	75	75	75	75			
Rice-two		75	75								75	75	75
Maize	110	4	4								4	4	4
Sweet potatoes	16	1	1								1	1	1
Sugarcane	168	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Vegetables	276	9	9								9	9	9
Bananas	23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Citrus	35	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
All irrigated crops	5128	96	96	8	8	83	83	83	83	83	96	96	96
Equipped for irrigation	3000												
Cropping intensity	171												



 $\mathbf{ET} = \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{c}} * \mathbf{ET}_{\mathbf{o}}$

ET_o: Reference crop evapotranspiration



Irrigation scheme: Validation



a) Mean annual simulated irrigation water requirements compared to irrigation water use in USA. b) Mean annual simulated and reported irrigation water requirements for countries in Asia. c) The lower values shown in b).

Irrigation water requirements



a) Irrigation water requirements. b) Corresponding increases in latent heat fluxes

Reservoir model





1st priority: Irrigation water demand
2nd priority: Flood control
3rd priority: Hydropower production
If no flood, no hydropower:
Make streamflow as constant as possible

Reservoir model

7q10 + irrigation water requirements:



"Naturalized" inflow Simulated inflow Operational year Storage settings





$$Q_{\max_{i}} = \min\left[\left(S_{i-1} + Q_{ini}\right), \left(S_{i-1} - S_{end} + \sum_{day=i}^{365} Q_{inday} - \sum_{day=i+1}^{365} Q_{min} - \sum_{day=i}^{365} E_{resday}\right)\right]$$

Reservoir model

River

Reservoir Dam

Non-irrigated part of grid cell Irrigated part of grid cell

Water withdrawn from local river

Water withdrawn from reservoir

Water withdrawal point

1st priority: Irrigation water demand
2nd priority: Flood control
3rd priority: Hydropower production
If no flood, no hydropower:
Make streamflow as constant as possible

Objective functions used to optimize Q:

Irrigation:

Flood protection:

Hydropower:

Water supply, navigation:

 $\min \sum_{i=1}^{365} (Q_{d_i} - Q_{r_i}), Q_d > Q_r$ $: \min \sum_{i=1}^{365} (Q_{r_i} - Q_{flood})^2, Q_r > Q_{flood}$ $\min \sum_{i=1}^{365} \frac{1}{Q_{r_i} \rho \eta hg}$ $\min \sum_{i=1}^{365} |(Q_{r_i} - Q_{mean})|$

Optimization scheme: SCEM-UA algorithm of Vrugt et al. (*Water Resources Research*, 2003)

Reservoir model: Evaluation



- - Naturalized streamflow
 - Simulated, no irrigation, no reservoirs
 - · Observed streamflow
 - Simulated, irrigation and reservoirs

Model evaluation: 1) Columbia, 2) Colorado, and 3) Missouri River basins



Irrigation water use: Validation

• Mean annual simulated and reported irrigation water use (o) and simulated irrigation water requirements (+) in the conterminous USA.



- Groundwater withdrawals
- Diversions

Results: Irrigation water





100°E

120°E

 $\begin{array}{c}
120^{\circ}W 110^{\circ}W 100^{\circ}W 90^{\circ}W 80^{\circ}W 70^{\circ}W} \\
50^{\circ}N \\
40^{\circ}N \\
30^{\circ}N \\
\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}
40^{\circ}E \\
60^{\circ}E \\
80^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
120^{\circ}E \\
120^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
120^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
120^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
120^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\
120^{\circ}E \\
100^{\circ}E \\$

a) Irrigation water requirementsb) Irrigation water usesc) Irrigation water deficits

Irrigation water requirements NLDAS: 18 mm year⁻¹ Asia: 16 mm year⁻¹

Irrigation water uses NLDAS: 10 mm year-1 Asia: 10 mm year-1

Global terrestrial

- precipitation: 800 mm year⁻¹
- evapotr.: 400 mm year⁻¹

Haddeland, I., T. Skaugen, and D.P. Lettenmaier, 2006, Continental-scale water resources modeling, Nordic Hydrological Conference

Results: Streamflow





Simulated, no reservoirs, no irrigation

·--- Simulated, reservoirs and irrigation

— Observed streamflow

Results: Irrigation water use



Results: Flow duration curves





Percent irrigated areas

Effects on freshwater fluxes reaching the oceans. a) and b) represent rivers draining the NLDAS region to the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans, respectively. c) represents rivers draining northwards to the Arctic Ocean in the Asian region, while d) and e) represent river draining Asia to the Indian and Pacific Oceans, respectively. The lower panels show the results of simulations 2 through 4 divided by simulation 1.

Results: Continental streamflow





The Colorado River basin 30°



Naturalized:
•Q: 42.3 mm year⁻¹
•ET: 335 mm year⁻¹

Irrigation included:
Q: 26.5 mm year⁻¹
ET: 350 mm year⁻¹

RCM-VIC

Effects of irrigation on air and surface temperatures

Colorado River basin

Mean results, July 2003



No irrigation: Max air temp



No irrigation: Min air temp



No irrigation: Max surface temp



No irrigation: Min surface temp



Irrigated: Min air temp



Irrigated: Max surface temp







Kelvin

320

Kelvin **A** 330 320 310

300

290 280

270

310 300

290 280 270

Kelvin

A 330

Kelvin 330 320





Conclusions

- The model does a reasonable job of reproducing the effects of management on selected large rivers.
- Reservoirs and crop irrigation water use has the potential of altering the natural hydrologic water balance of river basins.
- Simulated maximum monthly increases in streamflow, as a result of river regulations, are less than 30 percent, and are for Arctic rivers where winter flows are quite low.
- The largest monthly decrease in streamflow is about 30 percent, and is a result of flood control management and irrigation in the Western USA.
- Averaged over the NLDAS region and Asia, simulated consumptive irrigation water uses are 4.2 and 2.8 percent of simulated naturalized runoff, respectively. Given freely accessible irrigation water, the corresponding numbers are 7.6 and 4.4 percent.
- Averaged over larger regions, the changes in heat fluxes and surface temperature are small, but locally the changes can be significant.

References

Haddeland, I., D.P. Lettenmaier, and T. Skaugen, 2006, Effects of irrigation on the water and energy balances of the Colorado and Mekong River basins, *Journal of Hydrology* (in press)

Haddeland, I., T. Skaugen, and D.P. Lettenmaier, 2006, Anthropogenic impacts on continental surface water fluxes, *Geophysical Research Letters* (in press)